Keyframe Insertion for Random Access and Packet-Loss Repair in H.264/AVC, H.265/HEVC, and H.266/VVC

Hannes Mareen^{*}, Martijn Courteaux^{*}, Johan Vounckx[†], Peter Lambert^{*}, and Glenn Van Wallendael^{*}

* IDLab, Ghent University – imec	[†] THEO Technologies
Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 122, 9052 Gent, Belgium	Philipssite 5, bus 1, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
firstname.lastname@ugent.be	johan.vounckx@theoplayer.com

Sending low-delay live video over error-prone channels comes with packet-lossrepair and random-access challenges. Existing solutions have a negative impact on end-users with reliable connections or users that do not switch channels. To minimize this impact, the keyframe-insertion technique extends a compression-efficient normal stream (NS) with a companion stream (CS) solely consisting of keyframes [1].

This work lists the requirements to enable keyframe insertion in H.264/AVC, H.265/HEVC, and H.266/VVC. First, for keyframes in H.264/AVC and Instantaneous Decoder Refresh frames in H.265/HEVC, the Picture Order Count should be zero. Second, if there is a difference between the parameter sets (PS) of the NS and CS, then the inserted keyframe should be preceded with the PS of the CS and succeeded with the PS of the NS. Third, Temporal Motion Vector Prediction should be disabled.

The results show that the general negative quality impact is low for all evaluated codecs. However, for rare outliers, drift-error artifacts become perceptible over time (see Table 1). We found that this worst-case artifact type in H.264/AVC is caused by halfpel interpolation that slightly amplifies certain frequency components. Although this is not a perceptible problem in ordinary compression, it becomes perceptible when an error is introduced due to keyframe insertion and the filter is applied repetitively. By modifying or disabling subpel motion estimation, the quality impact is low (see last two rows in Table 1). In conclusion, this work discusses the viability of keyframe insertion in multiple compression standards to improve low-latency video distribution.

		<u> </u>	•					-			_
Standard	Codea		Max. $\Delta VMAF$			Min. frames before $\Delta VMAF \ge 6$					
Standard	Couec QP	$_{\rm NS} = {\rm QP}_{\rm CS} =$	22	27	32	37	22	27	32	37	
H.264/AVC	x264		67.0	62.5	48.6	35.2	155	5 161	174	188	
	$_{\rm JM}$		57.3	48.8	37.7	29.4	168	3 164	183	187	
H.265/HEVC	x265		4.4	4.4	5.3	3.1	-	-	-	-	
	$_{\rm HM}$		3.1	2.8	2.6	3.5	-	-	-	-	
H.266/VVC	VTM		7.1	25.0	11.7	3.3	355	5 194	232	-	
H.264/AVC	x264 - halfpe	l changed	3.6	2.8	2.4	2.6	-	-	-	-	
	x264 - ultrafa	ast (no subpel)	1.5	3.1	3.1	4.2	-	-	-	-	

Table 1: Negative quality for worst-case sequence BQSquare.

[1] G. Van Wallendael et al., "Keyframe Insertion: Enabling Low-Latency Random Access and Packet Loss Repair," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 6, 2021.

This work was funded in part by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO) under Grant 1S55218N, in part by IDLab (Ghent University – imec), in part by Flanders Innovation Entrepreneurship (VLAIO) project LIVE-G (Low-latency video over five-G—HBC.2020.2375), and in part by the European Union.