

Reference survey spectra of elemental solid measured with Cr K_{α} photons as a tool for Quases analysis (5): Various elements (Mg, Sb, Bi, Se, Gd, Dy, Yb) ✓

Special Collection: [Higher Energy X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy 2022](#)

C. Zborowski; T. Conard ✉; A. Vanleenhove; ... et. al



Surface Science Spectra 29, 024006 (2022)

<https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001956>



View
Online



Export
Citation

CrossMark

Related Content

Reference survey spectra of elemental solid measured with Cr K_{α} photons as a tool for Quases analysis (2): Transition metals period 5 elements (Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag)

Surface Science Spectra (September 2022)

Reference survey spectra of elemental solid measured with Cr K_{α} photons as a tool for Quases analysis (4): Group III and IV elements (B, Al, In, C, Si, Ge, Sn, Pb)

Surface Science Spectra (September 2022)

Reference survey spectra of elemental solid measured with Cr K_{α} photons as a tool for Quases analysis (3): Transition metals period 6 elements (Hf, Ta, W, Re, Ir, Pt, Au)

Surface Science Spectra (September 2022)

HIDEN ANALYTICAL Instruments for Advanced Science

- Knowledge
- Experience
- Expertise

Click to view our product catalogue

Contact Hiden Analytical for further details:
www.HidenAnalytical.com
info@hiden.co.uk

Gas Analysis	Surface Science	Plasma Diagnostics	Vacuum Analysis
<ul style="list-style-type: none">dynamic measurement of reaction gas streamscatalysis and thermal analysismolecular beam studiesdissolved species probesfermentation, environmental and ecological studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none">UHV/TPDSIMSend point detection in ion beam etchelemental imaging - surface mapping	<ul style="list-style-type: none">plasma source characterizationetch and deposition process reaction kinetic studiesanalysis of neutral and radical species	<ul style="list-style-type: none">partial pressure measurement and control of process gasesreactive sputter process controlvacuum diagnosticsvacuum coating process monitoring

Reference survey spectra of elemental solid measured with Cr K_{α} photons as a tool for Quases analysis (5): Various elements (Mg, Sb, Bi, Se, Gd, Dy, Yb)

Cite as: Surf. Sci. Spectra 29, 024006 (2022); doi: 10.1116/6.0001956

Submitted: 6 May 2022 · Accepted: 29 July 2022 ·

Published Online: 16 September 2022



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

C. Zborowski,^{1,2} T. Conard,^{1,a)} A. Vanleenhove,¹ I. Hoflijk,¹ and I. Vaesen¹

AFFILIATIONS

¹Imec, MCACSA, Kapeldreef 75, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

²Instituut voor Kern-en Stralingsfysica, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, Leuven B-3001, Belgium

Note: This paper is part of the 2022 Special Topic Collection on Higher Energy X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy.

a) Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Thierry.conard@imec.be

ABSTRACT

Several pure bulk materials were analyzed using laboratory-based hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The spectra are surveys measured using monochromatic Cr K_{α} radiation at 5414.8 eV after removal of surface contamination or oxidation. These aim to be references for inelastic background analysis using the Tougaard method.

Published under an exclusive license by the AVS. <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001956>

Accession #: 01780, 01781, 01782, 01783, 01784, 01785, and 01786

Technique: XPS

Host Material: Mg, Sb, Bi, Se, Gd, Dy, and Yb

Instrument: ULVAC-PHI Quantes

Major Elements in Spectra: Mg, Sb, Bi, Se, Gd, Dy, and Yb

Minor Elements in Spectra: Ar

Published Spectra: 7

Spectral Category: Reference

INTRODUCTION

This work, similar to previous work (Refs. 1–5), aims to improve the accuracy of inelastic background analysis of XPS spectra.

The determination of the depth distribution of complex samples by inelastic background analysis of XPS spectra can be challenging if it involves different materials with widely different inelastic scattering cross sections and inelastic mean free paths.

However, it has been shown that the use of reference spectra to adjust the fit of the inelastic background (Ref. 1) with Quases-Analyze software (Ref. 6) significantly improves the accuracy of the depth distribution determined with the Tougaard method (Refs. 7 and 8).

With the development of the laboratory based HAXPES tools, the HAXPES technique is now easily accessible. The probing depth

with the Tougaard method is ~ 8 IMFP (Ref. 8), which is larger than the usual ~ 3 IMFP, which is the quoted value for classical XPS core-level peak analysis. In some typical HAXPES cases, the probing depth even exceeds 10 IMFP and structures at ~ 50 nm or in some cases even more than 100 nm depths have been studied (Refs. 1–5 and 9–12).

That is the reason why these new laboratory based HAXPES tools make the inelastic background analysis even more useful to determine the depth distribution. The reference measurements of pure bulk samples are needed to improve accuracy of the depth distribution determination (Ref. 1). These survey measurements have been done after soft cleaning of the sample surface with Ar monoatomic sputtering until oxygen and carbon peaks are removed from the spectra recorded with the Al K_{α} source.

SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION (ACCESSION # 01780, 01781, 01782, 01783, 01784, 01785, 01786)

Host Material: Magnesium: 01780; Antimony: 01781; Bismuth: 01782; Selenium: 01783; Gadolinium: 01784; Dysprosium: 01785; and Ytterbium: 01786

CAS Registry #: See Guide to Figures

Host Material Characteristics: Homogeneous; solid; polycrystalline; unknown conductivity; inorganic compound; and Other

Chemical Name: Same as Host Material

Source: Bulk samples

Host Composition: Mg, Sb, Bi, Se, Gd, Dy, and Yb

Form: Foil

Structure: Polycrystalline

History and Significance: Air exposed and Ar-sputtered

As Received Condition: Foil

Analyzed Region: Same as host materials

Ex Situ Preparation/Mounting: Sample was taped on the sample holder using removable 3M double sided tape.

In Situ Preparation: Monoatomic Ar⁺ sputter clean until oxygen and carbon peaks are removed from the spectra recorded with the Al K_α source [standard cleaning 1 keV (lower for sensitive materials), sputter time dependent on surface contamination level].

Charge Control: Low energy electrons (1 eV, filament 1.1 A) and low energy ions (10 eV, 5 mA emission)

Temp. During Analysis: 300 K

Pressure During Analysis: <5 × 10⁻⁷ Pa

Preanalysis Beam Exposure: 0 s

INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

Manufacturer and Model: ULVAC-PHI, Quantes

Analyzer Type: Spherical sector

Detector: Multichannel resistive plate

Number of Detector Elements: 32

INSTRUMENT PARAMETERS COMMON TO ALL SPECTRA**Spectrometer**

Analyzer Mode: Constant pass energy

Throughput (T = E^N): The energy dependence can be modeled

using the following equation: $\frac{A}{E_p} = \left(\frac{a^2}{a^2 + R^2}\right)^b$, where a and b are constants, E_p is the pass energy, A is the peak area, and R is the retard ratio equal to E/E_p , where E is the kinetic energy. Three spectral regions [Ag 2s (3790–3830 eV), Ag 3s (700–740 eV), and Ag 3d (350–390 eV)] are recorded on a sputter cleaned silver sample at different pass energies. The values of a and b are then determined to be 576.9 and 6.3, respectively, by a linear least square fit of the data applying the equation described above.

Excitation Source Window: Al

Excitation Source: Cr K_α monochromatic

Source Energy: 5414.8 eV

Source Strength: 100 W

Source Beam Size: 100 × 1400 μm²

Signal Mode: Multichannel direct

Geometry

Incident Angle: 22°

Source-to-Analyzer Angle: 46°

Emission Angle: 45°

Specimen Azimuthal Angle: 0°

Acceptance Angle from Analyzer Axis: 0°

Analyzer Angular Acceptance Width: 20° × 20°

Ion Gun

Manufacturer and Model: ULVAC-PHI

Energy: 10 eV

Current: 5 mA

Current Measurement Method: Biased stage

Sputtering Species: Ar

Spot Size (unrastered): 10 000 μm

Raster Size: n/a

Incident Angle: 45°

Polar Angle: 45°

Azimuthal Angle: 45°

Comment: Gun used for charge neutralization

DATA ANALYSIS METHOD

Energy Scale Correction: For each spectrum, the mathematical average position of the “main” elemental peak as available in the NIST database (Ref. 13) was determined and the spectra were aligned on that specific peak. The peak selected for the energy alignment corresponds to the most often measured peak (Ref. 14).

Recommended Energy Scale Shift: See “guide to figure” table

Peak Shape and Background Method: None

Quantitation Method: None

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS**Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

C. Zborowski: Data curation (lead); Software (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **T. Conard:** Data curation (equal); Software (equal); Writing – original draft (lead). **A. Vanleenhove:** Writing – review & editing (lead). **I. Hoflijk:** Writing – review & editing (supporting). **I. Vaesen:** Writing – review & editing (supporting).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary material.

REFERENCES

- ¹C. Zborowski, O. Renault, A. Torres, Y. Yamashita, G. Grenet, and S. Tougaard, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **432**, 60 (2018).
- ²C. Zborowski and S. Tougaard, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **51**, 857 (2019).
- ³O. Renault, E. Martinez, C. Zborowski, J. Mann, R. Inoue, J. Newman, and K. Watanabe, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **50**, 1158 (2018).

- ⁴C. Zborowski, O. Renault, A. Torres, C. Guedj, Y. Yamashita, S. Ueda, G. Grenet, and S. Tougaard, *J. Appl. Phys.* **124**, 085115 (2018).
- ⁵P. Risterucci, O. Renault, C. Zborowski, D. Bertrand, A. Torres, J.-P. Rueff, D. Ceolin, G. Grenet, and S. Tougaard, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **402**, 78 (2017).
- ⁶S. Tougaard, see <http://www.Quases.com/> for “Quases-Tougaard, Software Packages to Characterize Surface Nano-Structures by Analysis of Electron Spectra” (2021).
- ⁷S. Tougaard, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **178–179**, 128 (2010).
- ⁸S. Tougaard, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **26**, 249 (1998).
- ⁹O. Renault, C. Zborowski, P. Risterucci, C. Wiemann, G. Grenet, C. M. Schneider, and S. Tougaard, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **109**, 011602 (2016).
- ¹⁰P. Risterucci, O. Renault, E. Martinez, B. Detlefs, J. Zegenhagen, G. Grenet, and S. Tougaard, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **46**, 906 (2014).
- ¹¹P. Risterucci, O. Renault, E. Martinez, B. Detlefs, V. Delaye, J. Zegenhagen, C. Gaumer, G. Grenet, and S. Tougaard, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 051608 (2014).
- ¹²B. F. Spencer *et al.*, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **541**, 148635 (2021).
- ¹³See <https://srdata.nist.gov/xps/ElmComposition.aspx> for a database of binding energies for various elements and compounds.
- ¹⁴See the [supplementary material](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001956) at <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001956> for ASCII data of all shown spectra.

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE^a

Spectrum ID #	Element/Transition	Peak Energy (eV)	Peak Width FWHM (eV)	Peak Area (eV × counts/s)	Sensitivity Factor	Concentration (at. %)	Peak Assignment
01780-01	Mg 2p	49.6 ^b
	Mg 2s	88.5
	Mg 1s	1303.0
01781-01	Mg KL _{2,3} L _{2,3}	1186.3 ^c
	Sb 4d	32.5
	Sb 4s	153.1
	Sb 3d _{5/2}	528.0 ^b
	Sb 3d _{3/2}	537.4
	Sb 3p _{3/2}	766.4
	Sb 3p _{1/2}	812.4
	Sb 3s	946.0
	Sb LMM	3027.1 ^c
	Sb 2p _{3/2}	4132.8
	Sb 2p _{1/2}	4381.2
01782-01	Sb 2s	4698.9
	Bi 5d _{5/2}	24.0
	Bi 5d _{3/2}	27.0
	Bi 4f _{7/2}	157.0
	Bi 4f _{5/2}	162.3
	Bi 4d _{5/2}	440.5
	Bi 4d _{3/2}	464.2
	Bi 4p _{3/2}	679.1
	Bi 4p _{1/2}	806.1
	Bi 4s	939.8
	Bi 3d _{5/2}	2579.9
01783-01	Bi 3d _{3/2}	2687.9
	Se 3d	55.4 ^b
	Se 3p _{3/2}	161.6
	Se 3p _{1/2}	167.4
	Se 3s	230.5
	Se 2p _{3/2}	1434.4
	Se 2p _{1/2}	1475.2
01784-01	Se 2s	1654.7
	Se L ₃ M _{4,5} M _{4,5}	1307.1 ^c
	Gd 4d	141.6 ^b
	Gd 4p _{3/2}	271.4
	Gd 3d _{5/2}	1186.1
	Gd 3d _{3/2}	1218.2
	Gd 3p _{3/2}	1548.6
01785-01	Gd 3p _{1/2}	1690.0
	Gd 3s	1886.2
	Dy 4d _{5/2}	152.3 ^b
	Dy 4p _{3/2}	297.0
	Dy 3d _{5/2}	1295.3
	Dy 3p _{3/2}	1679.0
01786-01	Dy 3p _{1/2}	1844.9
	Dy 3s	2050.7
	Dy 4d _{5/2}	182.1 ^b
	Dy 4p _{3/2}	340.4
	Dy 3d _{5/2}	1521.0
	Dy 3p _{3/2}	1945.3

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE^a (Continued.)

Spectrum ID #	Element/Transition	Peak Energy (eV)	Peak Width FWHM (eV)	Peak Area (eV × counts/s)	Sensitivity Factor	Concentration (at. %)	Peak Assignment
	Dy 3p _{1/2}	2169.4
	Dy 3s	2395.6

^aApplicable to all peak energy values: Peak energies were determined from the centroid of the peak. Due to the 0.5 eV data point spacing they are reported to 0.3 eV precision.

^bPeak used for binding energy referencing.

^cPeak position is given in kinetic energy.

ANALYZER CALIBRATION TABLE


Spectrum ID #	Element/Transition	Peak Energy (eV)	Peak Width FWHM (eV)	Peak Area (eV × counts/s)	Sensitivity Factor	Concentration (at. %)	Peak Assignment
...	Cu 2p _{3/2}	932.66	0.88	79 646
...	Ag 3d _{5/2}	368.25	0.63	79 262
...	Au 4f _{7/2}	84.02	0.73	35 042

The calibration table is established using the Cr K_α photons and a pass energy of 112 eV (analyzer resolution 0.86 eV).

GUIDE TO FIGURES


Spectrum (Accession) #	Spectral Region	Voltage Shift ^a	Multiplier	Baseline	Comment #
01780-01	Survey	-0.4	1	0	Mg: CAS 7439-95-4; Goodfellow: 450-397-75
01781-01	Survey	-0.5	1	0	Sb: CAS 7440-36-0; Goodfellow: 151-279-61
01782-01	Survey	0.5	1	0	Bi: CAS 7440-69-9; Goodfellow: 899-185-00
01783-01	Survey	0.0	1	0	Se: CAS 7782-49-2; Goodfellow: 663-952-12
01784-01	Survey	-0.9	1	0	Gd: CAS 7440-54-2; Goodfellow: 359-437-01
01785-01	Survey	-0.1	1	0	Dy: CAS 7429-91-6; Goodfellow: 859-582-29
01786-01	Survey	-1.6	1	0	Yb: CAS 7440-64-4; Goodfellow: 192-646-75

^aVoltage shift of the archived (as-measured) spectrum relative to the printed figure. The figure reflects the recommended energy scale correction due to a calibration correction, sample charging, flood gun, or other phenomenon.




Accession #	01780-01
Host Material	Mg
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf




Accession #	01781-01
Host Material	Sb
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf




Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf

Accession #	01782-01
Host Material	Bi
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV




Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf

Accession #	01783-01
Host Material	Se
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV




Accession #	01784-01
Host Material	Gd
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf



Accession #	01785-01
Host Material	Dy
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf



Accession #	01786-01
Host Material	Yb
Technique	XPS
Spectral Region	Survey
Instrument	ULVAC-PHI Quantes
Excitation Source	Cr K _α monochromatic
Source Energy	5414.8 eV
Source Strength	100 W
Source Size	0.1 × 1.4 mm ²
Analyzer Type	Spherical sector analyzer
Incident Angle	22°
Emission Angle	45°
Analyzer Pass Energy	280 eV
Analyzer Resolution	1.9 eV
Total Signal Accumulation Time	10 000 s
Total Elapsed Time	11 300 s
Number of Scans	10
Effective Detector Width	31 eV

Downloaded from http://pubs.aip.org/avs/sss/article-pdf/doi/10.1116/6.0001956/16739006/024006_1_online.pdf