

## “Sweeping” rods: Cargo transport by self-propelled bimetallic microrods moving perpendicular to their long axis

This paper has been published in *Soft Matter* as a communication article:

Arslanova, Alina, Venkateshwar Rao Dugyala, Erwin K. Reichel, Naveen Reddy, Jan Fransaer, and Christian Clasen. ”’Sweeping rods’: Cargo transport by self-propelled bimetallic microrods moving perpendicular to their long axis.” *Soft Matter* 17, no. 9 (2021): 2369-2373.

Supplementary video: M2.mp4 (25 fps)

## 1 Abstract

A possible application of self-propelling particles is the transport of microscopic cargo. Maximizing the collection and transport efficiency of particulate matter requires the area swept by the moving particle to be as large as possible. One such particle geometry are rods propelled perpendicular to their long axis, that act as ”sweepers” for collecting particles. Here we report on the required Janus coating to achieve such motion, and on the dynamics of the collection and transport of microscopic cargo by sideways propelled Janus rods.

## 2 Introduction

Cargo manipulation at micro- and nano-scales is a challenging, yet desirable task for targeted drug delivery [1, 2], microrobotics [3, 4], operating and separating particles and biological matter at the microscale [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], as well as removing unwanted species from a solution [2, 10]. Pick-up, delivery and drop-off of the cargo can be performed by autonomously moving micro- and nano-motors [11, 12]. There were many successful attempts of exploiting biological motors, such as bacteria, for that task [13, 14, 15, 16]. However, artificial active particles still remain beneficial for a range of applications in particular due to the tunability of their properties, as well as a more precise motion control [17, 18, 19]. Among active particles, Janus particles play a crucial role in manipulating the matter at the microscale. Janus particles have two distinct surfaces different in chemical or physical properties, allowing them to create a gradient of a physical parameter in their proximity that generates a fluid flow along the particle, inducing particle motion [20, 21, 22]. When Janus particles are mixed with passive tracers, they show different interactions depending on the type of the active coating and are able to collect and transport cargo when interactions are attractive [23]. To ensure transport of a specific cargo, most of the studied systems exploit specific interactions between the cargo and the Janus particle, as for example electrostatic interaction between polypyrrole segment and charged cargo [24], interactions with biological molecule moieties [24, 25, 26], magnetic [27, 28] or hydrophobic interactions [10, 29, 30]. Moreover, cargo transport was shown also for Janus particles with no surface functionalization but possessing a permanent magnetic moment [31].

While the above approaches are applicable for the pick-up of specific types of cargo, the manipulation of general non-specific loads is also of interest, for instance for the ‘sweeping’ of unwanted or dangerous species in a solution. However, studies of assemblies of non-functionalized active and passive particles are

still limited. The transport of non-functionalized cargo was shown for micro-tubular motors propelled at air-water interface [32] and in microfluidic channel [33]. Label-free pick-up of cargo was also carried out by dielectrophoresis for spherical Janus particles [34, 35, 36] and by electrokinetic mechanism for Janus nano-rods propelling along their long axis [37]. However, for the efficient pick-up of cargo it is preferable to produce Janus particles with larger active surface area, as, for example, rods propelled perpendicular to their long axis. Such sideways propelled rods were recently fabricated and their general movement was studied [38, 39, 40], but the possibility and dynamics of cargo transport by this system has not been investigated yet, which is the subject of this communication.

### 3 Materials and Methods

The fabrication of Janus rods was adapted from previous publications [38, 39]. A monolayer of aligned  $3\ \mu\text{m}$  diameter polystyrene fibers was produced by electrospinning a 25 wt.% solution of polystyrene (PS,  $M_w = 280\ \text{kg mol}^{-1}$ , Sigma Aldrich BVBA) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8 %, Acros Organics NV); parameters of electrospinning: voltage - 22 kV, flow rate -  $0.2\ \text{ml h}^{-1}$ , needle diameter - 0.61 mm, temperature -  $34\ ^\circ\text{C}$ , relative humidity - 25 RH, distance between the needle and collector - 13.5 cm. The alignment of fibers was achieved by using rectangular parallel electrodes [39, 41] or a rotating drum collector (speed 1000 – 2000 rpm) coupled with translating unit [42] (MTI, MSK-ESDC-3000). In the first approach, fibers are aligned parallel when randomly jumping from one parallel electrode plate to the other, while for the second approach fibers are aligned via the additional elongation force exerted by the rotating drum on the fibers [43]. The obtained fiber monolayer was subsequently sputter coated (Quorum, Q150TS) with 5 nm thick Pt and Au layers on opposing sides of the layer respectively, resulting in long Janus fibers. After dispersing the Janus fibers in water, they were cut into smaller rods of 10 – 100  $\mu\text{m}$  length by ultrasonication using an ultrasonic probe (Hielscher UP400S, power density  $450\ \text{W cm}^{-2}$ ) for 1.5 min. This produces rods with a broad length distribution, as well as bent rods.

The sideways self-propulsion of Pt/Au rods in hydrogen peroxide (2.8 wt.%, pH = 4.5) containing polystyrene sulfate spheres (Invitrogen<sup>TM</sup>, diameter  $d = 3\ \mu\text{m}$ , 8 w/v%) as the cargo, is captured with an inverted optical microscope (Olympus, IX71) at different magnifications (50x, 20x). All self-propulsion experiments were carried out in a glass cell with a 1.5 mm deep, round well (diameter  $d = 13\ \text{mm}$ ). When the rods are placed in the hydrogen peroxide solution, they settle and propel near the bottom wall due to their slightly higher density and size.

### 4 Results and Discussion

Different driving forces for the propulsion of bimetallic Janus rods were proposed in literature, such as self-induced electric fields, concentration gradients, or surface tension gradients [44, 45]. Although the exact mechanism of their motion is not understood yet, a strong evidence was obtained for a dominating self-electrophoretic propulsion mechanism, and it was shown that such bimetal-




Figure 1: Sideways propelled Pt/Au rod collecting polystyrene cargo particles ( $\sim 0.005$  w/v%) in aqueous hydrogen peroxide (2.8 wt.%) solution, scale bar is  $30 \mu\text{m}$ .

lic rods are moving with their platinumium segment facing forward [46, 47]. The close proximity of the particles to the wall further influences their motion due to electroosmotic flow [48, 49]. Since a small fraction of rods got intermediately stuck on the surface, we selected for the analysis rods of length  $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$  that exhibited a stable motion within the experimental observation time ( $\sim 5$  min). The images were analyzed using a self-written Python code<sup>5</sup> to determine the particles trajectories and velocities as a function of cargo loading.

When dispersed in hydrogen peroxide solution containing polystyrene spheres with sulfate surface groups, the Janus rods ‘sweep’ the solution near the bottom wall, capturing cargo particles as shown in Fig. 1. The self-propulsion experiments in Fig. 1 (additionally, see movie M2 in the supporting information) are carried out in a dilute dispersion of cargo spheres, to ensure that the sideways self-propelling rod captures the cargo spheres, that undergo Brownian motion by themselves, one at a time.

The rod velocity decreases with increasing number of captured spheres due to an increase in the resistance of the microrod-cargo aggregate to the flow (Fig. 2), in agreement with studies on the drag resistance of the rafts of spherical objects [12, 32]. A simple formula for the velocity of a microswimmer-cargo aggregate was derived by Raz and Leshansky [50]:

$$V_d = \frac{V_r K_r}{K_r + K_1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $V_d$  is the velocity of the microswimmer dragging the spheres [ $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ],  $V_r$  and  $K_r$  are the velocity [ $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ] and the resistance coefficient [ $\text{kg s}^{-1}$ ] of the swimmer without cargo, respectively, and  $K_1$  is the resistance coefficient of the cargo [ $\text{kg s}^{-1}$ ].

The resistance coefficient of a cylinder of radius  $r$  near a wall is given by [51]:

$$K_r = \frac{4\pi}{\log[r^{-1}(d + |d^2 - r^2|^{0.5})]}, \quad (2)$$

<sup>5</sup>The Python code for the tracking of the rods motion: <https://github.com/ReknowIndra/rod-track>




Figure 2: Velocities of a sideways propelled Janus rods of length  $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$  that are pushing  $n$  polystyrene spheres in aqueous hydrogen peroxide (2.8 wt.%) solution (averaged for each loading over 3 different rods). The dashed red line represents the velocities calculated from eq. 1 with a resistance coefficient of the rod  $K_r$  calculated from eq. 2, and the resistance coefficient of  $n$  polystyrene spheres  $K_1$  obtained from the beads model.

where  $d$  is the distance between the rod and the wall [m], which is determined by the balance of the gravitational force  $F_{g,r}$  and the electrostatic repulsion of the wall  $F_{e,r}$  per unit length [52, 53]:

$$F_{e,r} = 2\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon\kappa}\sqrt{\kappa r} \times \left[ \zeta_w \zeta_r \exp(-\kappa h) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \zeta_w^2 + \zeta_r^2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{r+h}} \right) \exp(-2\kappa h) \right], \quad (3)$$

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{2F^2 C_0}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon RT}}, \quad (4)$$

$$F_{g,r} = -\pi r^2 \Delta \rho g, \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon_0 = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12}$  [F m<sup>-1</sup>] is the vacuum permittivity,  $\epsilon = 80$  is the relative permittivity of water [-],  $F$  is the Faraday constant [C mol<sup>-1</sup>],  $\kappa^{-1} \approx 10^{-6}$  [m] is the Debye length calculated from eq. 4 with  $C_0 = 0.0316$  [mol m<sup>-3</sup>] as the bulk concentration of protons calculated from  $\text{pH} = -\log C_0$  at a  $\text{pH} = 4.5$ ,  $r = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$  [m] is the rod radius,  $\zeta_w$  is the zeta potential of the glass wall [V],  $\zeta_r$  is the zeta potential of the rod [V],  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration [m s<sup>-2</sup>], and  $\Delta \rho = 50$  [kg m<sup>-3</sup>] is the density difference between water and polystyrene. From balancing the electrostatic repulsion and gravitational acceleration,  $F_{e,r} = -F_{g,r}$ , and by assuming literature values for the zeta-potential of the rod and the wall of  $\zeta_r = \frac{\zeta_{\text{Pt}} + \zeta_{\text{Au}}}{2} \approx -50$  mV [54, 37, 17] and  $\zeta_w \approx -40$  mV [55], respectively, the separation distance between the rod and the bottom wall ( $d$ ) is approximately 550 nm.




Figure 3: On the left: resistance coefficient  $K_l$  as a function of the number of spheres  $n$  attached to the sideways moving rod. On the right: drag force on a sideways propelled rod with  $n$ -attached spheres in aqueous hydrogen peroxide (2.8 wt.%) solution.

To our knowledge, there is no analytical expression for the resistance coefficient of aggregates of several spheres  $K_l$  near the wall required in eq. 1. That resistance coefficient  $K_l$  can be found from the grand resistance matrix  $\mathcal{H}$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_x \\ U_y \\ \dot{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{H}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F_x \\ F_y \\ T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  are the microrod-cargo aggregate velocity in the direction perpendicular ( $x$ -direction) and parallel ( $y$ -direction) to the long axis of the solo-rod [ $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ],  $\dot{\phi}$  is the aggregate angular velocity [ $\text{s}^{-1}$ ],  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  is the mobility matrix of the microrod-cargo aggregate,  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  are the drag forces in the  $x$  and  $y$  direction [N], and  $T$  is the torque on the aggregate acting at the centre of mass [N m]. Therefore, the grand resistance matrix  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $n$  touching beads is calculated using a beads model following the procedure detailed in [39], which takes into account the hydrodynamic interaction between the spherical particles but neglects initially any wall effects [56, 57]. The relation between the resistance coefficient of the aggregate  $K_l$  and the number of spheres,  $n$ , is visualized in Fig. 3.

The resulting scaling of the velocity with the number of polystyrene spheres from eq. 1 is shown in Fig. 2. As the velocity of only the rod  $V_r$  is obtained within an error of 20%, it was adjusted by fitting the experimental data with eq. 1. The obtained scaling is in good agreement with the experimental values, albeit not a proof of the functional form, even though the wall effects for the aggregate of spheres is not accounted for in this model. The large variation in the experimental data is caused by the imperfections of the system: ultrasonication results in a wide distribution of rod length, which affects their velocity, as well as damages the metallic coating. Moreover, it is hard to achieve a perfect alignment of the fibers during electrospinning, which can also result in uneven coating for some rods.




Figure 4: Sideways propelled Pt/Au rod collecting polystyrene cargo particles ( $\sim 0.02$  w/v%) in aqueous hydrogen peroxide (2.8 wt.%), scale bar is  $30 \mu\text{m}$ .

Aggregates of Janus sideways propelled rods and cargo particles are in general stable over the experimental time range, only for few cases it was observed that single sphere detached after a short time ( $\sim 5$  s), which we attribute to the slight differences in the sphere-wall distance for different spheres caused by their variation of density and consequently a misalignment of the rod and the sphere in  $z$ -direction.

According to Wang *et al.* [37], the cargo spheres are not only hydrodynamically dragged by the rod, but an additional attachment of the spheres to the rod is caused by the self-induced electric field arising from a self-electrophoretic mechanism. According to this, the negatively charged particles move up to the electric field, towards the platinum side of the Janus particle, which acts as an anode. Note that, unlike for rods propelled along their long axis [12], polystyrene spheres could attach also to the top side of the sideways propelled rods, although this configuration is highly unstable in the presence of the hydrodynamic drag.

Finally, the drag force on the aggregate was calculated using the approach developed by Hagen *et al.* [58, 59, 60]. The self-propelling force, which equals the drag force, obeys the relation for an identical passive particle under an external force and torque (eq. 6). The grand resistance matrix  $\mathcal{H}$  was determined using again the beads model, now for the full aggregate. The drag force on the aggregate is then found by iteratively fitting eq. 6 to experimentally observed velocity of the microrod-cargo aggregate. Fig. 3 shows that the drag force on the microswimmer-cargo aggregate remains independent of the number of spheres, indicating that the attachment of spheres does not affect the propulsion force of the rod, and that the decrease in velocity in Fig. 2 is related solely to the increase of the hydrodynamic resistance of the microswimmer-cargo aggregate. This increase of the hydrodynamic resistance for the aggregate stems from the shape change after a consecutive attachment of microspheres.

The observed maximum loading indicates that the spheres themselves do not stick, but, as expected, due to their slight repulsive potential stack in the hydrodynamically most favored configuration on the first row of particles (that interacts with the rod as discussed above): a triangular closed packed state as observed in Fig. 4.

## 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we studied the collection and transport of micro-scale cargo by sideways propelled Janus rods, which are of interest due to their larger surface area for collecting cargo. Therefore, these rods can be used for “sweeping” the solution near the wall from unwanted or dangerous particles. The propulsion force on the rod remains unaffected by attached particles, but the velocity of microswimmer-cargo aggregate depends on the number of spheres it transports. Since the propulsion force remains constant, this velocity decrease is related to the shape change of the microswimmer-cargo aggregate through the addition of extra spheres, which leads to the increase in its resistance coefficient. We connected the velocity decrease to the number of attached spheres via a simple model that takes the resistance coefficients of the several sphere aggregates into account via a beads model.

## References

- [1] L. Sonntag, J. Simmchen, and V. Magdanz, “Nano-and micromotors designed for cancer therapy,” *Molecules*, vol. 24, no. 18, p. 3410, 2019.
- [2] D. Patra, S. Sengupta, W. Duan, H. Zhang, R. Pavlick, and A. Sen, “Intelligent, self-powered, drug delivery systems,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1273–1283, 2013.
- [3] Y. Alapan, B. Yigit, O. Beker, A. F. Demirörs, and M. Sitti, “Shape-encoded dynamic assembly of mobile micromachines,” *Nature Materials*, p. 1, 2019.
- [4] H. Ceylan, J. Giltinan, K. Kozielski, and M. Sitti, “Mobile microrobots for bioengineering applications,” *Lab on a Chip*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1705–1724, 2017.
- [5] C. Maggi, J. Simmchen, F. Saglimbeni, J. Katuri, M. Dipalo, F. De Angelis, S. Sanchez, and R. Di Leonardo, “Self-assembly of micromachining systems powered by Janus micromotors,” *Small*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 446–451, 2016.
- [6] S. Balasubramanian, D. Kagan, C.-M. Jack Hu, S. Campuzano, M. J. Lobo-Castañon, N. Lim, D. Y. Kang, M. Zimmerman, L. Zhang, and J. Wang, “Micromachine-enabled capture and isolation of cancer cells in complex media,” *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 50, no. 18, pp. 4161–4164, 2011.
- [7] S. Sanchez, A. A. Solovev, S. Schulze, and O. G. Schmidt, “Controlled manipulation of multiple cells using catalytic microbots,” *Chemical Communications*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 698–700, 2011.
- [8] S. Campuzano, J. Orozco, D. Kagan, M. Guix, W. Gao, S. Sattayasamitsathit, J. C. Claussen, A. Merkoçi, and J. Wang, “Bacterial isolation by lectin-modified microengines,” *Nano Letters*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 396–401, 2012.
- [9] J. Orozco, S. Campuzano, D. Kagan, M. Zhou, W. Gao, and J. Wang, “Dynamic isolation and unloading of target proteins by aptamer-modified microtransporters,” *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 83, no. 20, pp. 7962–7969, 2011.
- [10] M. Guix, J. Orozco, M. García, W. Gao, S. Sattayasamitsathit, A. Merkoçi, A. Escarpa, and J. Wang, “Superhydrophobic alkanethiol-coated microsubmarines for effective removal of oil,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 4445–4451, 2012.
- [11] L. Zhang, T. Petit, Y. Lu, B. E. Kratochvil, K. E. Peyer, R. Pei, J. Lou, and B. J. Nelson, “Controlled propulsion and cargo transport of rotating nickel nanowires near a patterned solid surface,” *ACS nano*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 6228–6234, 2010.
- [12] J. Wang, “Cargo-towing synthetic nanomachines: towards active transport in microchip devices,” *Lab on a Chip*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1944–1950, 2012.

- [13] D. B. Weibel, P. Garstecki, D. Ryan, W. R. DiLuzio, M. Mayer, J. E. Seto, and G. M. Whitesides, “Microoxen: Microorganisms to move microscale loads,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 102, no. 34, pp. 11963–11967, 2005.
- [14] L. Vaccari, M. Molaei, R. L. Leheny, and K. J. Stebe, “Cargo carrying bacteria at interfaces,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 14, no. 27, pp. 5643–5653, 2018.
- [15] R. R. Trivedi, R. Maeda, N. L. Abbott, S. E. Spagnolie, and D. B. Weibel, “Bacterial transport of colloids in liquid crystalline environments,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 11, no. 43, pp. 8404–8408, 2015.
- [16] N. Dogra, H. Izadi, and T. K. Vanderlick, “Micro-motors: A motile bacteria based system for liposome cargo transport,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, p. 29369, 2016.
- [17] S. Das, A. Garg, A. I. Campbell, J. Howse, A. Sen, D. Velegol, R. Golestanian, and S. J. Ebbens, “Boundaries can steer active Janus spheres,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2015.
- [18] L. Baraban, D. Makarov, O. G. Schmidt, G. Cuniberti, P. Leiderer, and A. Erbe, “Control over Janus micromotors by the strength of a magnetic field,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1332–1336, 2013.
- [19] W. Z. Teo and M. Pumera, “Motion control of micro-/nanomotors,” *Chemistry—A European Journal*, vol. 22, no. 42, pp. 14796–14804, 2016.
- [20] S. Ebbens and J. Howse, “In pursuit of propulsion at the nanoscale,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 6, pp. 726–738, 2010.
- [21] W. Wang, W. Duan, S. Ahmed, T. E. Mallouk, and A. Sen, “Small power: Autonomous nano-and micromotors propelled by self-generated gradients,” *Nano Today*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 531–554, 2013.
- [22] A. Walther and A. H. Müller, “Janus particles,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 663–668, 2008.
- [23] P. Chattopadhyay and J. Simmchen, “Interactions of different Janus particles with passive tracers,” *ChemRxiv*, 2019.
- [24] S. Sundararajan, P. Lammert, A. Zudans, V. Crespi, and A. Sen, “Catalytic motors for transport of colloidal cargo,” *Nano Lett*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1271–1276, 2008.
- [25] J. Simmchen, A. Baeza, D. Ruiz, M. J. Esplandiu, and M. Vallet-Regí, “Asymmetric hybrid silica nanomotors for capture and cargo transport: towards a novel motion-based DNA sensor,” *Small*, vol. 8, no. 13, pp. 2053–2059, 2012.
- [26] S. Sundararajan, S. Sengupta, M. E. Ibele, and A. Sen, “Drop-off of colloidal cargo transported by catalytic Pt–Au nanomotors via photochemical stimuli,” *Small*, vol. 6, no. 14, pp. 1479–1482, 2010.

- [27] J. Burdick, R. Laocharoensuk, P. M. Wheat, J. D. Posner, and J. Wang, “Synthetic nanomotors in microchannel networks: Directional microchip motion and controlled manipulation of cargo,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 130, no. 26, pp. 8164–8165, 2008.
- [28] D. Kagan, R. Laocharoensuk, M. Zimmerman, C. Clawson, S. Balasubramanian, D. Kang, D. Bishop, S. Sattayasamitsathit, L. Zhang, and J. Wang, “Rapid delivery of drug carriers propelled and navigated by catalytic nanoshuttles,” *Small*, vol. 6, no. 23, pp. 2741–2747, 2010.
- [29] W. Gao, A. Pei, X. Feng, C. Hennessy, and J. Wang, “Organized self-assembly of Janus micromotors with hydrophobic hemispheres,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 135, no. 3, pp. 998–1001, 2013.
- [30] L. Baraban, M. Tasinkevych, M. Popescu, S. Sanchez, S. Dietrich, and O. Schmidt, “Transport of cargo by catalytic Janus micro-motors,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 48–52, 2012.
- [31] G. Zhao, H. Wang, S. Sanchez, O. G. Schmidt, and M. Pumera, “Artificial micro-cinderella based on self-propelled micromagnets for the active separation of paramagnetic particles,” *Chemical Communications*, vol. 49, no. 45, pp. 5147–5149, 2013.
- [32] A. A. Solovev, S. Sanchez, M. Pumera, Y. F. Mei, and O. G. Schmidt, “Magnetic control of tubular catalytic microbots for the transport, assembly, and delivery of micro-objects,” *Advanced Functional Materials*, vol. 20, no. 15, pp. 2430–2435, 2010.
- [33] S. Sanchez, A. A. Solovev, S. M. Harazim, and O. G. Schmidt, “Microbots swimming in the flowing streams of microfluidic channels,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 701–703, 2011.
- [34] A. M. Boymelgreen, T. Balli, T. Miloh, and G. Yossifon, “Active colloids as mobile microelectrodes for unified label-free selective cargo transport,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2018.
- [35] Y. Wu, A. Fu, and G. Yossifon, “Active particles as mobile microelectrodes for selective bacteria electroporation and transport,” *Science Advances*, vol. 6, no. 5, p. eaay4412, 2020.
- [36] A. F. Demirörs, M. T. Akan, E. Poloni, and A. R. Studart, “Active cargo transport with Janus colloidal shuttles using electric and magnetic fields,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 14, no. 23, pp. 4741–4749, 2018.
- [37] W. Wang, W. Duan, A. Sen, and T. E. Mallouk, “Catalytically powered dynamic assembly of rod-shaped nanomotors and passive tracer particles,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 110, no. 44, pp. 17744–17749, 2013.
- [38] N. Reddy, L. Palangetic, L. Stappers, J. Buitenhuis, J. Fransaeer, and C. Clasen, “Metallic and bimetallic Janus nanofibers: electrical and self-propulsion properties,” *J. Mater. Chem.*, vol. 1, pp. 3646–3650, 2013.

- [39] V. R. Dugyala, N. Reddy, J. Fransaer, and C. Clasen, “Self-propulsion of bent bimetallic Janus rods,” *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, vol. 52, no. 1, p. 014002, 2018.
- [40] H. R. Vutukuri, Z. Preisler, T. H. Besseling, A. Van Blaaderen, M. Dijkstra, and W. T. Huck, “Dynamic self-organization of side-propelling colloidal rods: experiments and simulations,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 12, no. 48, pp. 9657–9665, 2016.
- [41] D. Li, Y. Wang, and Y. Xia, “Electrospinning of polymeric and ceramic nanofibers as uniaxially aligned arrays,” *Nano Letters*, vol. 3, no. 8, pp. 1167–1171, 2003.
- [42] B. Sundaray, V. Subramanian, T. Natarajan, R.-Z. Xiang, C.-C. Chang, and W.-S. Fann, “Electrospinning of continuous aligned polymer fibers,” *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 84, no. 7, pp. 1222–1224, 2004.
- [43] M. Afshari, *Electrospun nanofibers*. Woodhead Publishing, 2016.
- [44] W. F. Paxton, K. C. Kistler, C. C. Olmeda, A. Sen, S. K. St. Angelo, Y. Cao, T. E. Mallouk, P. E. Lammert, and V. H. Crespi, “Catalytic nanomotors: autonomous movement of striped nanorods,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 126, no. 41, pp. 13424–13431, 2004.
- [45] W. F. Paxton, A. Sen, and T. E. Mallouk, “Motility of catalytic nanoparticles through self-generated forces,” *Chemistry—A European Journal*, vol. 11, no. 22, pp. 6462–6470, 2005.
- [46] W. F. Paxton, P. T. Baker, T. R. Kline, Y. Wang, T. E. Mallouk, and A. Sen, “Catalytically induced electrokinetics for motors and micropumps,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 128, no. 46, pp. 14881–14888, 2006.
- [47] Y. Wang, R. M. Hernandez, D. J. Bartlett, J. M. Bingham, T. R. Kline, A. Sen, and T. E. Mallouk, “Bipolar electrochemical mechanism for the propulsion of catalytic nanomotors in hydrogen peroxide solutions,” *Langmuir*, vol. 22, no. 25, pp. 10451–10456, 2006.
- [48] W. Wang, T.-Y. Chiang, D. Velegol, and T. E. Mallouk, “Understanding the efficiency of autonomous nano-and microscale motors,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 135, no. 28, pp. 10557–10565, 2013.
- [49] S. Ketzetzi, J. de Graaf, and D. J. Kraft, “Diffusion-based height analysis reveals robust microswimmer-wall separation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06384*, 2020.
- [50] O. Raz and A. Leshansky, “Efficiency of cargo towing by a microswimmer,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 77, no. 5, p. 055305, 2008.
- [51] D. Jeffrey and Y. Onishi, “The slow motion of a cylinder next to a plane wall,” *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 129–137, 1981.
- [52] H. Ohshima, “Electrostatic interaction between a cylinder and a planar surface,” *Colloid and Polymer Science*, vol. 277, no. 6, pp. 563–569, 1999.

- [53] L. Ren, D. Zhou, Z. Mao, P. Xu, T. J. Huang, and T. E. Mallouk, “Rheotaxis of bimetallic micromotors driven by chemical–acoustic hybrid power,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 10591–10598, 2017.
- [54] G. M. Dougherty, K. A. Rose, J. B.-H. Tok, S. S. Pannu, F. Y. Chuang, M. Y. Sha, G. Chakarova, and S. G. Penn, “The zeta potential of surface-functionalized metallic nanorod particles in aqueous solution,” *Electrophoresis*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1131–1139, 2008.
- [55] S. Ketzetzi, J. de Graaf, R. P. Doherty, and D. J. Kraft, “Slip length dependent propulsion speed of catalytic colloidal swimmers near walls,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 124, no. 4, p. 048002, 2020.
- [56] J. Riseman and J. G. Kirkwood, “The intrinsic viscosity, translational and rotatory diffusion constants of rod-like macromolecules in solution,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 512–516, 1950.
- [57] B. Carrasco and J. Garcia de la Torre, “Improved hydrodynamic interaction in macromolecular bead models,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 111, no. 10, pp. 4817–4826, 1999.
- [58] F. Kümmel, B. ten Hagen, R. Wittkowski, I. Buttinoni, R. Eichhorn, G. Volpe, H. Löwen, and C. Bechinger, “Circular motion of asymmetric self-propelling particles,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 110, no. 19, p. 198302, 2013.
- [59] B. Ten Hagen, F. Kümmel, R. Wittkowski, D. Takagi, H. Löwen, and C. Bechinger, “Gravitaxis of asymmetric self-propelled colloidal particles,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 5, p. 4829, 2014.
- [60] B. Ten Hagen, R. Wittkowski, D. Takagi, F. Kümmel, C. Bechinger, and H. Löwen, “Can the self-propulsion of anisotropic microswimmers be described by using forces and torques?,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, vol. 27, no. 19, p. 194110, 2015.